

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/023,	146 02/	12/98	SANDHU	G	3369US(91-	36

MMC2/0320

JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI TRASK BRITT & ROSSA FO BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84110 -

EXAN	MINER
DANG,	Т
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2823	

DATE MAILED:

03/20/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

	ABTIGOTI ACTION
⊠ тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 💢	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) 🗌	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
☐ Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap to	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/b/2000 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1. 💢	The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
,	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
2.	NOTE: The proposed amended claims 33 in hodines a new 112, 2nd para. Problem since the limitation "said nucleation layer" (line 8 of the claim) backs antecedent basis. The cited "discontinuotes newlection layer" and "newlection layer" are distinct layers, hence new matter for the 112, 1st para and ent negetions, applicant's arguments are found. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed it submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
з. 💢	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed: None unpersuasive in light of
	Claims allowed: None unpershasive in light of the rejection of record. Claims rejected: 1-47
	Claims rejected:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. 📋	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
The	proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
Oth	Trung Dang Primary Examiner
	Aning Dane